National Information Assurance Partnership



Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme Validation Report

IBM CORPORATION

IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients Manufactured by ATMEL (AT90SP0801)

Report Number: CCEVS-VR-01-0005

Dated: October 10, 2001

Version: 1.0

National Institute of Standards and Technology Information Technology Laboratory 100 Bureau Drive Gaithersburg, MD 20899 National Security Agency Information Assurance Directorate 9800 Savage Road STE 6740 Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6740

REPORT D	OCUMENTATION PA	AGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burder for this collection of information is estibated to and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Rep- law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with	this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collec- orts (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Su	ction of information, including s ite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-	suggestions for reducing the 4302. Respondents should	his burder to Department of Defense, Washington I be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 10-10-2001	2. REPORT TYPE	·		OVERED (FROM - TO)
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	•	5a.	CONTRACT N	IUMBER
Common Criteria Evaluation and Validatio			GRANT NUM	BER
Corporation, IBM Cryptographic Security (ATMEL (AT90SP0801) Unclassified	Chip for PC Clients Manufactur	sed by 5c.	PROGRAM EI	LEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)		5d.	PROJECT NUI	MBER
			TASK NUMBI	
			WORK UNIT N	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAI Booz Allen & Hamilton 8283 Greensboro Drive McLean, VA22102	ME AND ADDRESS		ERFORMING MBER	ORGANIZATION REPORT
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENC	CY NAME AND ADDRESS	10	SPONSOR/MC	ONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
National Information Assurance Partnershi				ONITOR'S REPORT
Information Technology Laboratory	•		MBER(S)	NITOKS KLI OKI
100 Bureau Drive		<u> </u>		
Gaithersburg, MD20899				
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST APUBLIC RELEASE ,	ATEMENT			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT				
This report documents the NIAP validators manufactured by Atmel Corporation (AT90)				
15. SUBJECT TERMS	_			
IATAC Collection; information assurance;	<u> </u>	110		
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Public Release		ster, Lynn	SPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS Unclassified Unclassified Unclas		Inter Area 7037 DSN	national Area Coo national Area Coo n Code Telephone 767-9007	de
		[Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std 739 18

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE 10/10/2001	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES	COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	10/10/2001	Report 10/10/2001	NDING NUMBERS	
Common Criteria Evalua		INDING NUMBERS		
	n, IBM Cryptographic S			
	tured by ATMEL (AT90SP			
	carea by minds (most)			
6. AUTHOR(S)				
NIAP				
7 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	7 DEDECORMING ODC ANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			REPORT NUMBER	
Booz Allen & Hamilton				
8283 Greensboro Drive				
McLean, VA 22102				
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING	AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS	(FS) 10. SF	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING	
7. Grendenine / inclination	7.02.101		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
National Information A	ssurance Partnership			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILI			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public re	lease; Distribution un	limited		
approved for public re	lease; Distribution un	limited	A	
Approved for public re	lease; Distribution un	limited	А	
		limited	А	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M		limited	A	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 VI	/ords)		A S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 VI	<i>/ords)</i> the NIAP validators' a			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security	<i>/ords)</i> the NIAP validators' a	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co. eir	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co. eir	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co. eir	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co. eir	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co. eir	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co. eir	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co. eir	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th justifications, and th	/ords) the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Co. eir	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM). It presents the	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th justifications, and th	the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Coleir e conformance result.	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM). It presents the	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th justifications, and th	the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Coleir e conformance result.	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM). It presents the 15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th justifications, and th	the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Coleir e conformance result.	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM). It presents the 15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th justifications, and th	the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Coreir e conformance result.	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM). It presents the 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14 16. PRICE CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th justifications, and th	the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Coreir e conformance result.	ssessment of the CCEV rporation (AT90SP0801 ptography	S evaluation of the IBM). It presents the 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14 16. PRICE CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 M This report documents Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients ma evaluation results, th justifications, and th	the NIAP validators' a nufactured by Atmel Coreir e conformance result.	ssessment of the CCEV	S evaluation of the IBM). It presents the 15. NUMBER OF PAGES 14 16. PRICE CODE	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102



National Information Assurance Partnership

Common Criteria Certificate @Common Crit



IBM Corporation

The IT product identified in this certificate has been evaluated at an accredited testing laboratory using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (Version 1.0) for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (Version 2.1). This certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration. The product's functional and assurance security specifications are contained in its security target. The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme and the conclusions of the testing laboratory in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced. This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by any agency of the U.S. Government and no warranty of the IT product is either expressed or implied.

Product Name IBM Cryptographic Security Chip For PC Clients Manufactured by ATMEL (AT90SP0801) Version and Release Numbers: AT90SP0801 Evaluation Platform: N/A.

Assurance Level: EAL3 Augmented

Name of OCTL: CygnaCom Solutions, Inc., an Entrust Company Validation Report Number: CCEVS-VR-01-0005

Date Issued: 10 October 2001 Protection Profile Identifier: N/A

Original Signed

Information Technology Laboratory National Institute of Standards and Technology

Original Signed

Information Assurance National Security Agency

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Validation Team

Jerome Myers Stuart Schaeffer Aerospace Corporation Columbia, Maryland/El Segundo, California

Common Criteria Testing Laboratory

Cygnacom Solutions, an Entrust Company McLean, Virginia

Table of Contents

1	EX	KECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
2	ID	ENTIFICATION	5
3	SE	CURITY POLICY	7
	3.1	PASSWORD POLICY	7
	3.2	ROLE DIFFERENTIATION POLICY.	7
	3.3	IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION POLICY	7
	3.4	ACCESS CONTROL POLICY	8
	3.4	4.1 Access to hardware key	8
	3.4	4.2 Access to user buffers	8
	3.5	SECURITY MANAGEMENT POLICY	8
4	AS	SUMPTIONS AND CLARIFICATION OF SCOPE	9
		USAGE ASSUMPTIONS	
		ENVIRONMENTAL ASSUMPTIONS	
	4.3	CLARIFICATION OF SCOPE	9
5		RCHITECTURAL INFORMATION	
6	-	OCUMENTATION	
7		PRODUCT TESTING	
		DEVELOPER TESTING	
	7.2		
8		ALUATED CONFIGURATION	
9		SULTS OF THE EVALUATION	
10		EVALUATOR COMMENTS	
11		ANNEXES	
12		SECURITY TARGET	
13		GLOSSARY	
14	ŀ	BIBLIOGRAPHY	14
		LIST OF TABLES	
Га	able 1	: Evaluation Identifiers	6
Га	able 2	: Lockout Time vs. Cumulative Password Failure Count	7

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report documents the NIAP validators' assessment of the CCEVS evaluation of the IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients manufactured by Atmel Corporation (AT90SP0801). It presents the evaluation results, their justifications, and the conformance result.

The evaluation was performed by Cygnacom Solutions and was completed on September 9, 2001. The information in this report is largely derived from the Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) written by Cygnacom and submitted to the validators. The evaluation determined the product to be **Part 2 conformant, Part 3 conformant,** and to meet the requirements of **EAL 3 augmented with CC component ADV_SPM.1** (informal security policy model), resulting in a "pass" in accordance with CC Part 1 paragraph 175.

The product is an integrated circuit chip interfacing with the Intel System Management Bus (SMBus) and mounted on a daughter card on the motherboard of an IBM desktop computer or surface-mounted on the motherboard of an IBM notebook computer. The product provides (1) RSA digital signature computation using internally stored 512-bit or 1024-bit keys, and (2) decryption of relatively small encrypted blocks of data bits (e.g., symmetric encryption keys that must be protected against disclosure) stored in a disk or memory component of the environment. For signature generation, an arbitrary number of user public key pairs, encrypted with a hardware (product-specific) public key, can be stored in the system in which the product is embedded. When a user requires a signature, the user's private key is decrypted by the product and stored in a register internal to the product. Access to product functions may optionally be controlled by password. Data within the product (decrypted keys) is not accessible by the surrounding system. The hardware private key may be changed by the possessor of a hardware password or locked to prevent its being changed.

The product is intended to protect the confidentiality and integrity of secret keys and to prevent unauthorized use of a key. It does not support any particular Organizational Security Policies (OSPs) but provides the general protections noted here.

All product related support functions must be provided as components of the environment. These functions include key pair generation, encryption of keys and data, hashing for signature generation, and movement of commands and data into and out of the chip via the SMBus. Environmental software provided by IBM for the product was available to facilitate some of the product analysis and testing. However, this environmental software, provided by IBM in the Secure PC client computing platforms, has not been subjected to a NIAP evaluation. In the configuration in which the chip is mounted on a daughter card, the card is also a component of the environment and was not an element of this evaluation.

It is assumed that the operating system is configured to meet installation security requirements, that administration of the computational environment is performed correctly and in a secure manner, and that adequate physical protection (power management, protection against physical tampering, etc) is provided.

The validation team monitored the activities of the evaluation team, participated in team meetings, provided guidance on technical issues and evaluation processes, reviewed selected evaluation evidence, and reviewed the individual work units and successive versions of the ETR. The validation team found that the evaluation showed that the product satisfies all of the functional requirements and assurance requirements stated in the Security Target (ST). Therefore the validation team concludes that Cygnacom Solution's findings are accurate, the conclusions justified, and the conformance results correct.

The Validation Report is not an endorsement of the IT product by any agency of the U.S. Government and no warranty of the IT product is either expressed or implied.

1 -

¹ The terminology in this sentence is defined in *CC Interpretation 008*, specifying new language for CC Part 1, section/Clause 5.4.

2 IDENTIFICATION

The CCEVS is a joint National Security Agency (NSA) and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) effort to establish commercial facilities to perform trusted product evaluations. Under this program, security evaluations are conducted by commercial testing laboratories called Common Criteria Testing Laboratories (CCTL)s using the Common Evaluation Methodology (CEM) for Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL) 1 through EAL 4 in accordance with National Voluntary Laboratory Assessment Program (NVLAP) accreditation.

The NIAP Validation Body assigns Validators to monitor the CCTLs to ensure quality and consistency across evaluations. Developers of information technology products desiring a security evaluation contract with a CCTL and pay a fee for their product's evaluation. Upon successful completion of the evaluation, the product is added to NIAP's Validated Products List.

Table 1 provides information needed to completely identify the product, including

- the Target of Evaluation (TOE): the fully qualified identifier of the product as evaluated,
- the Security Target (ST), describing the security features, claims, and assurances of the product,
- the conformance result of the evaluation,
- the organizations and individuals participating in the evaluation

Table 1: Evaluation Identifiers

Item	Identifier	
Evaluation Scheme	United States NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme	
Target of Evaluation	IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients Manufactured by ATMEL (AT90SP0801)	
Protection Profile	Not Applicable	
Security Target	IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients Manufactured by ATMEL (AT90SP0801), Common Criteria Security Target Version 4.3, by Cygnacom Solutions.	
Evaluation Technical Report	IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients Manufactured by ATMEL (AT90SP0801), Common Criteria Evaluation Technical Report, by Cygnacom Solutions	
Conformance Result	Part 2 conformant, Part 3 conformant, and EAL 3 augmented with CC component ADV_SPM.1.	
Sponsor	IBM Personal Systems, 3039 Cornwallis Rd, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	
D 1	IBM Personal Systems, 3039 Cornwallis Rd, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	
Developer	Atmel Corporation, 1150 E. Cheyenne Mtn. Blvd., Colorado Springs CO 80906	
	Cygnacom Solutions	
	Ms. Kristina Rogers	
Evaluators	Ms. Shari Galitzer	
	Government Participants	
	None	
Validators	Mr. Jerome Myers (Aerospace Corporation)	
variations	Mr. Stuart Schaeffer (Aerospace Corporation)	

3 SECURITY POLICY

The following security policies are enforced by the product.

3.1 Password Policy

Access to product functions and internally stored data requires a password. There are three classes of password:

- Hardware password, stored in a register in the chip. The hardware password is required for all administration and configuration actions. It is required to be set at system (i.e., chip) initialization.
- Failure Counter Reset password, stored in a register in the chip. The Failure Counter Reset password can be used to
 reset the Password Failure Counter. It must be set at system initialization.
- User password. A user may optionally specify a password to control access to his/her key when the key is stored in a
 buffer within the chip. If no user password is associated with a buffered user key, anyone may access the key buffer.

The product maintains a count of all password check failures (for all three types of password) in an internal register, the Failure Counter. When the failure count reaches a multiple of 32, the chip is locked for a period of time corresponding to the count as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Lockout Time vs. Cumulative Password Failure Count

Cumulative Failure	Lockout Period
Count	
32	1.2 Minutes
64	2.4 Minutes
96	4.8 Minutes
224	1 Hour, 17 Minutes
256	2 Hours, 34 Minutes
384	1.7 Days
512+	27.2 Days

When ten unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to the hardware password and the Failure Counter Reset password, the Failure Count is modified If it is less than 224, it is incremented to 224. If greater than 224, it is incremented to the next multiple of 32. The lockout period then begins.

3.2 Role Differentiation Policy.

The product supports exactly two roles:

- Administrator
- User (sometimes also referred to as Operator)

An Administrator is defined as any person who can provide the hardware password. All other persons are users.

3.3 Identification and Authentication Policy.

In this product, user (or administrator) identity is not expressed as a character string associated with an individual. A claim of identity is implicit in a command sent to the chip for execution.

For an administrator, issuing the *Hardware Key Password Check* command asserts the claim of administrator identity. The claim is authenticated if the hardware password is provided as command input. Password authentication is mandatory for an administrator.

For a user, issuing the *User Key Password Check* command asserts that the issuer claims the identify of "owner" of the encryption key in one of two internal chip buffers, specified in the command; the identity claim is "the current owner of nticated if the user password, provided as command input, matches the user password (if any) associated with the chip buffer.

A user buffer may be flagged as requiring no password, in which case any user can access the buffer (and use the key).

User identities are not maintained across power cycles.

3.4 Access Control Policy

3.4.1 Access to hardware key.

Only an administrator may generate a signature using the hardware key. Any user may use the hardware key to decrypt an externally stored key pair.

3.4.2 Access to user buffers.

User buffer access control is discretionary: a user specifies whether the buffer is password protected when loading key data into one of the buffers.

If a user buffer is password protected, only the owner (a user providing the password) can

- (1) decode² the user's externally stored encrypted private key data and store it in a user buffer, and
- (2) use the key in the buffer for signature generation or decryption.

If a user buffer is not password protected, any user can perform these operations.

3.5 Security Management Policy

Only an administrator may issue the following commands:

- Lock the hardware key (i.e., make the current hardware key permanently unchangeable).
- · Change the hardware password.
- · Change the Failure Counter Reset password.
- The maximum size of a data block that can be read from the chip (i.e., returned by a read command).
- · Enable clearing of chip data.
- Hardware Key Password Check command.
- The command to reset the Password Failure Counter.

 2 "Decode" is the term used by the product manufacturer for this decryption operation.

8

4 ASSUMPTIONS AND CLARIFICATION OF SCOPE

4.1 Usage Assumptions

The evaluation made the following assumptions concerning product usage:

- The product is properly installed in a desktop or laptop personal computer.
- The product is configured according to the System Administrator's Guide.
- The hardware password is changed from its default (factory-set) value when the chip is initialized.
- · Users do not disclose their passwords to unauthorized users.
- Passwords are cleared from the chip after use to avoid unauthorized reuse.
- · Administrators and users follow the guidance documents.
- · The chip clear function is disabled during initialization to avoid a denial of service attack

4.2 Environmental Assumptions

The evaluation made the following assumptions concerning the environment:

- The product is physically protected, since it cannot protect itself against physical tampering.
- · Access to key data stored outside the chip is controlled by functions in the environment (e.g., the operating system).
- Key pairs and passwords are correctly generated by environmental functions.

4.3 Clarification of Scope

Certain threats are outside the scope of the product's capabilities to counter, and the product makes no claims of protection against them:

- The chip has an authentication failure handling mechanism to protect against password cracking attacks. After
 a specified number of failed password attempts, the chip locks users out for progressively longer periods of
 time. If an attacker intentionally sends multiple bad passwords to the chip, this can cause denial of service for
 authorized users. The product does not claim that it can protect itself against such attacks.
- The product protects only information under its control, i.e., stored on the chip. Key pairs and passwords are generated in the environment and must be protected in the environment (i.e., in off-chip storage) by other means.
- The product does not protect against access to its functions by individuals not authorized to use the system in
 which the chip is embedded. In a practical application, the environment (typically, the operating system) is
 expected to provide any such protection.

5 ARCHITECTURAL INFORMATION

The product is a single system (a single monolithic integrated circuit chip) with no subsystems.

6 DOCUMENTATION

The following product documentation is provided to consumers:

800-007 IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients Manufactured by Atmel (AT90SP0801) Common Criteria Security Target, Rev B.

800-002 IBM Secure Signature Chip Administrator Guide, Rev B

800-001 IBM Secure Signature Chip User Guide, Rev B

800-004 IBM Secure Signature Chip Secure Installation Generation Start-up Procedures, Rev B

Datasheet AT90SP0801, 1495AX-07/05/01

Additional unevaluated consumer documentation related to the product is available at http://www.pc.ibm.com/ww/security/securitychip.html

IT PRODUCT TESTING

6.1 Developer Testing

The TOE is a mass-replicated integrated circuit chip, and the developer tests manufacturing samples for conformance to specifications. This testing is performed by placing the test sample into a test platform, an IBM RIO PC, and testing the chip functions. Most testing is automated using scripts written in the *tcl* language. The *tcl* scripts generate commands on the IBM PC, send commands to the chip, collect results returned from the chip to the PC, and save the commands and results for analysis. The tests that are not automated are those requiring operator intervention: pushing a button to reset the chip, cycling power, and monitoring the lockout period with a clock.

As originally presented for evaluation, the developer's testing was not exhaustive. The evaluator worked with the developer to create additional tests for all cases not included in the developer's test suite. The developer has incorporated these additional tests into their standard test suite, and developer testing is now exhaustive; all TOE Security Functions in the ST are tested.

The chip is tested as manufactured, with register settings as specified in 800-002 IBM Secure Signature Chip Administrator Guide, Rev B, Table 3.1 "Required System Configuration" (see Section 8, EVALUATED CONFIGURATION, below).

6.2 Evaluator Testing

The evaluator performed approximately 80% of the developer's test suite of 52 *tcl* test scripts. The evaluator executed 43 of the scripts on the 20-pin SOIC package and 41 of the scripts on the 28-pin package. The only scripts not executed were long-running scripts that test lockout due to authentication failure and a script that permanently locks the chip.

The only security function not tested by the evaluator was the function that permanently locks the chip.

The evaluator also devised a test subset that:

- Covered all classes of security functionality claimed by the Security Target (Cryptographic Support, User Data Protection, Identification and Authentication, Security Management, and Protection of the TSF), and
- Tested the Strength of Function claim.

The following test cases³ were specified as part of independent team testing and scripted in *tcl*. The test environment and chip configuration were the same as previously described.

- 0. **Verification of TSF Interface:** Check that commands generated by tcl scripts are sent to the chip and that chip responses displayed by the tcl manager actually came from the chip.
- 1. Password Length: Check that users cannot use a password of length less than eight characters.
- Digital Signature with 512 and 1024 bit keys: Verify that cryptographic functionality is working by decoding a user key and using it to sign a message
- 3. Password Mode Byte: Check sample of cases of password mode byte
- Reset Failure Counter with Hardware Password: Check that authentication with the hardware (administrative) password resets the failed password attempt counter.

1

³ The numbering scheme is that used in the Evaluation Technical Report.

- Attempt to change Bit 2 of the "Configuration Information Register": Attempt to write "0" to Bit 2 of the Configuration Information Register CONFIG_R. Bit 2 of this register is supposed to be permanently set to "1".
- Comparison of Power Cycle, Reset, and Chip Clear: Compare register settings and password flags before
 and after power cycle, chip clear, and reset
- 7. Undocumented Commands: Attempt to perform an invalid command
- 8. Undocumented Registers: Attempt to store into and load from undocumented registers.

All tests gave expected (correct) results. The testing found that the product was implemented as described in the functional specification and did not uncover any undocumented interfaces or other security vulnerabilities.

7 EVALUATED CONFIGURATION

The TOE is a monolithic integrated circuit chip and has no subsystems or discrete components that can be added, removed, or rearranged. It is manufactured in two physical packages, a 20-pin SOIC (Small Outline Integrated Circuit) package and a 28-pin TSSOP (Thin Shrink Small Outline Package) package. The two physical configurations are logically and functionally identical. Both were tested for the evaluation.

The evaluated configuration was as delivered from the factory and described in the document 800-007 IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients Manufactured by Atmel (AT90SP0801) Common Criteria Security Target, Rev B, with register settings for initialization (including enablement) and operation as specified in 800-002 IBM Secure Signature Chip Administrator Guide, Rev B, Table 3.1 "Required System Configuration".

8 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION⁴

The evaluation determined the product to be **Part 2 conformant**, **Part 3 conformant**, and to meet the requirements of **EAL 3 augmented with CC component ADV_SPM.1** (informal security policy model).

9 EVALUATOR COMMENTS

There are no Evaluator Comments.

10 ANNEXES

There are no annexes to this report.

11 SECURITY TARGET

The ST, IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients Manufactured by Atmel (AT90SP0801) Common Criteria Security Target, Rev B, is included here by reference.

⁴ The terminology in this section is defined in CC Interpretation 008, specifying new language for CC Part 1, section/Clause 5.4.

12 GLOSSARY

CC Common Criteria

CCEL Common Criteria Evaluation Laboratory

CCEVS Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme

CCTL Common Evaluation Testing Laboratory

CEM Common Evaluation Methodology

CI Configuration Items

EAL Evaluation Assurance Level
EDR Evaluation Discovery Report
ETR Evaluation Technical Report

MRA Mutual Recognition Arrangement

NIAP National Information Assurance Program
NIST National Institute of Science & Technology

NSA National Security Agency

OR Observation Report
PP Protection Profile
ROM Read Only Memory

Kow Kead Only Memory

SAR Security Assurance Requirement
SFR Security Functional Requirements

SOF Strength of Function

SOIC Small Outline Integrated Circuit

ST Security Target

TOE Target of Evaluation

TSF TOE Security Functions

TSFI TSF Interface

TSSOP Thin Shrink Small Outline Package

13 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 1: Introduction and general model, dated August 1999, Version 2.1.
- [2] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional requirements, dated August 1999, Version 2.1.
- [3] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Annexes, dated August 1999, Version 2.1.
- [4] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security assurance requirements, dated August 1999, Version 2.1.
- [5] Common Evaluation Methodology for Information Technology Security Part 1: Introduction and general model, dated 1 November 1998, version 0.6.
- [6] Common Evaluation Methodology for Information Technology Security Part 2: Evaluation Methodology, dated August 1999, version 1.0
- [7] IBM Cryptographic Security Chip for PC Clients Manufactured by Atmel (AT90SP0801) Common Criteria Security Target, Rev B.
- [8] 800-002 IBM Secure Signature Chip Administrator Guide, Rev B
- [9] 800-001 IBM Secure Signature Chip User Guide, Rev B
- [10] 800-004 IBM Secure Signature Chip Secure Installation Generation Start-up Procedures, Rev B
- [11] Datasheet AT90SP0801, 1495AX-07/05/01